Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology (ASSET)
Vol. 7, No.1, January 2025, pp. 0250108-01 ~ 0250108-011
ISSN: 2715-4211 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v7i1.1079

Advancements and Challenges in Additive Manufacturing: Future Directions and Implications for Sustainable Engineering

Raffi Mohammed[1*], Abdul Saddique Shaik[2], Subhani Mohammed[3], Kiran Kumar Bunga[3], Chiranjeevi Aggala[4], Bairysetti Prasad Babu[4], Irfan Anjum Badruddin[2]

[1]Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Ramachandra College of Engineering Eluru (A), Affiliated to JNTUK-Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India-534007

[2]Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, King Khalid University, Abha, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

[3]Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, SASI Institute of Technology and Engineering Tadepalligudem, Affiliated to JNTUK-Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India

[4]Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering, Ramachandra College of Engineering Eluru (A), Affiliated to JNTUK-Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India-534007

*Corresponding Author: mechhod03@gmail.com

Abstract. This study explores the recent advancements in additive manufacturing (AM) and its significant effects on various industries such as aerospace, automotive, medical, and casting. The research investigates how AM has the potential to enhance design flexibility, reduce weight, and optimize material performance through developments like adaptive algorithms, topology-based process planning, and multi-objective optimization techniques. These advancements have resulted in near-net-shape casting, improved surface finishes, and enhanced structural integrity. However, the widespread adoption of AM in the commercial sector faces challenges such as high costs, limited material compatibility, and inconsistent build quality. This paper assesses these limitations and suggests solutions such as enhanced design algorithms, AI-driven process monitoring, and the creation of sustainable materials to address them. By overcoming these barriers, AM can smoothly integrate into industrial environments and revolutionize manufacturing processes. The study emphasizes the importance of further exploration of AM's potential to drive innovation, sustainability, and productivity across different sectors.

Keywords: Metal 3D Printing, Industry 4.0, Sustainable Manufacturing, Additive Manufacturing Applications, Manufacturing Technology Advancements

(Received 2024-09-18, Accepted 2024-11-20, Available Online by 2025-01-03)

1. Introduction

This paper uniquely contributes to the additive manufacturing (AM) field by critically analyzing recent advancements with a focus on sustainability and industrial adaptability, areas essential to the technology's broader adoption. Unlike conventional AM studies that often focus solely on technical aspects or specific applications; this research addresses the sustainability implications of AM through an in-depth evaluation of material efficiency, energy utilization, waste reduction, and the potential for global sustainability goals alignment. By examining the technology's evolving role in resource-conserving design, sustainable manufacturing practices, and advanced synthesis methods, this study provides a distinct perspective on AM’s potential to create a circular economy framework. This approach positions AM not just as a tool for prototyping but as a strategic asset for sustainable production across industries like aerospace, automotive, architecture, and more [1-2]. The paper further explores how AM's scalability and flexibility can contribute to reduced material waste, customized production, and improved product lifecycles.

These factors are crucial in achieving eco-friendly manufacturing systems and transitioning towards a greener, more sustainable future. By integrating AM into sustainable production systems, this research offers critical insights into AM’s environmental footprint and its integration within the broader context of sustainability [3-4]. Overall, this work serves as a foundational analysis for researchers, industry stakeholders, and policymakers aiming to leverage AM for a greener, more resilient manufacturing landscape. The findings presented in this paper provide valuable information and guidance for those seeking to understand the potential of AM in achieving sustainability goals, reducing environmental impact, and fostering a more circular economy [5-6]. Through its comprehensive evaluation and analysis, this research contributes to the ongoing discourse on AM and its role in shaping a more sustainable future for manufacturing industries worldwide.

2. Literature Review on Historical Development of Additive Manufacturing

The evolution of advanced manufacturing, in the form of what is currently described as additive manufacturing, can be traced back to the early 19th century. The process of joining solids together was reported by Sir Humphrey Davy, and in 1968, a system to generate fully dense 3D objects from a ceramic ink was patented. However, apart from some recent patents, when the patent on the first sintering powder bed system expired in the early 2000s, very few additional patents were filed, signifying that a new phase of interest started to form [7-8]. At that time, interestingly, some of the diamond manufacturers known for their extensive patenting activity let go of their AM-related patents to a third party as diamonds were no longer made using AM. The following section describes the many different names given to the various processes, and since it seems the term 3D printing, which is mainly concerned with physical form only, was different from those concerned with shapes and global production, it is suggested that the recently introduced term additive manufacturing should be universally adopted [9-10].

The first phase can be associated with artistic and medical purposes, and it led to many patents for improved processes and applications, from musical instruments to lattice structures. Innovators in this phase included individuals based in various institutions. Rapid prototyping and tooling were the purpose of the second phase, where stereolithography and a similar system were the main contenders. More innovative, however, was the work of individuals at a university. In the third phase, focusing on the expansion of applications, one team generated patents, and another team working on a specific process enabled the printing of polymeric and then metal parts, and while a number of applications were not discussed in the patent, it was acknowledged that the technology could be used for the production of products such as ground vehicle parts. In the meantime, individuals began to sell their own 3D printers, and a fair started to be attended by companies [11]. The inclusion of electronic and computational systems was the focus of the fourth phase, especially the growth of electronic-based AMs, as shown mainly by the work of various researchers. The experience gained in the first phases was put together to add value to complex geometry as a design tool. For example, a company had commercialized a 3D printer and rapidly made very small acquisitions to grow their product and market portfolio. The entry into the capital markets and beyond required adaptation to corporate world politics. When a major company purchased a subsidiary, this was then reported to be worth a significant amount [12].

3. Materials and Methods in Additive Manufacturing

The methodology section of this study employs a qualitative, comparative case study approach to thoroughly examine and assess the capabilities of three major additive manufacturing (AM) technologies: Powder Bed Fusion (PBF), Material Jetting (MJ), and Directed Energy Deposition (DED). The selection of case studies was based on their relevance to industrial applications, level of technological innovation demonstrated, and focus on sustainability. Industries such as aerospace, automotive, medical, and consumer goods were chosen for their significant impact. Each selected case highlights innovations in design complexity, material efficiency, and overall manufacturing performance. Additionally, the degree to which each case contributed to sustainable manufacturing practices, such as waste reduction and energy efficiency, was carefully considered. The selected case studies were analyzed using a standardized framework to facilitate a consistent comparison across the three AM technologies. The framework assessed the ability of each technology to handle complex geometries, customize features, maintain high material efficiency, reduce waste, and improve energy efficiency. The evaluation of the technologies was based on several performance metrics, such as design complexity, material utilization and properties, energy consumption, sustainability, and manufacturing speed. This comprehensive approach aims to provide insights that are both specific and applicable to a wide range of real-world industrial applications.

The development of additive manufacturing (AM) over the years has implied the presence of different technologies, which have specific characteristics and unique features. The main ones, which continue to attract improvement, offer many capabilities to produce fully functional products complying with the final application. These are: powder bed fusion (PBF), vat polymerization, directed energy deposition (DED), material jetting (MJ), and binder jetting. The aim of this section is to analyze PBF, MJ, and DED fundamentally, providing insights into the technologies, materials, products, and the main industrial sectors that have adopted these technologies for different applications. Manufacturing methods belonging to the category of powder bed fusion involve the spreading of a layer of finely divided material, specifically plastics or metals, on a substrate before the selective melting of the powder material at certain points to create a solid object [13-14]. The main advantage of this process is the capability to make very intricate structures, both internally and externally, complicated parts with undercuts and interlocking features, and to create products with various internal mechanical properties. Laser beam melting, a technology also known as selective laser melting or direct metal laser melting when applied to metal powders, and electron beam melting are the two methods that can be classified within powder bed fusion. One of the significantly tested powders in the material jetting domain is photopolymers that can be customized to fit various requirements of desired properties, such as elasticity, deformability, low or high melting temperature, and the presence of organic fillers. Material jetting can also be used to print multi-material products or multi-material scaffolds [15-16]. The produced parts are used in different fields of industrial advancements, such as consumer goods, medical, dental, and aerospace, among others. Ultraviolet light, infrared light, laser, or heat is used to harden the droplet that lands on the plate substrate. Directed energy deposition (DED) is the process of adding material, layer by layer, to create 3D objects. The technologies are capable of forming complex shapes with geometric features smaller than a millimeter and producing objects with customized properties. The targeted materials for extrusion and powder deposition can be metals, ceramics, polymers, or their mixtures. It is usually used to repair, coat, or design new metal products, such as molds, blades, and parts used in aerospace. The research of extrusion focuses on developing systems with a single extrusion nozzle, which can produce multi-material and multifunctional products. The main materials used in material extrusion are fused filament fabrication and different types of plastics, such as ABS, PLA, and acrylonitrile butadiene styrene/polycarbonate blends. Fortus, Dimension, 3D, and Rapman are some of the extrusion technologies provided by current system producers [17-18].

4. Results and Discussion

The study's findings demonstrate the significant progress in additive manufacturing (AM) technologies and their impact on various industrial applications. The strengths and limitations of Powder Bed Fusion (PBF), Material Jetting (MJ), and Directed Energy Deposition (DED) are highlighted. Each technology has unique capabilities: PBF is excellent at producing intricate and precise parts, MJ offers high-resolution multi-material printing, and DED is versatile in manufacturing large and complex components. Despite these advancements, challenges such as material compatibility, cost efficiency, and quality control are still significant obstacles. The section goes into detail about the technological achievements, applications, and ongoing limitations of each AM method, providing insights into their practical implementation and areas for improvement. Additionally, the discussion explores innovative solutions, such as real-time process monitoring, advanced material development, and hybrid manufacturing approaches, with the aim of enhancing AM's integration into mainstream industrial practices while promoting sustainability.

4.1. Powder Bed Fusion

Powder Bed Fusion Powder Bed Fusion (PBF) is among the earliest additive manufacturing technologies and has recently been identified as a crucial part of the AM ecosystem. It is fundamentally characterized by the layer-by-layer fabrication of parts using powdered materials. During the fabrication process, the powdered material particles are selectively fused using an energy source such as a laser or an electron beam that provides the required heat energy to melt the powder. After the initial layer is fabricated, the machine adds a new layer of powder and then subsequently fuses the new and the built material using the energy source. This process is repeated until the complete part is built. PBF is generally the preferred AM technology for manufacturing complex 3D parts with lattice structures, which, if built using traditional manufacturing techniques, will be inefficient and costly to fabricate. The technology allows for the fabrication of high dimensionally accurate parts with low surface roughness when compared to other AM technologies. Parts produced using PBF have been shown to have better mechanical properties comparable to wrought materials, enhancing their use in various fields. Several industries, including aerospace, automotive, electronics, marine, and medical, have taken a strong interest in the technology, with strong growth expected in the coming years. Challenges: The PBF process generates a steep thermal gradient, which can create tension and cause warpage in parts. There are also concerns regarding the reusability of the powder and the introduction of defects in the built parts. Additionally, the technology is limited by the current range of materials that can be used. A substantial amount of research has been extended to improve the PBF process. For instance, researchers have developed closed-loop control systems, and differing powder spreading techniques have been tested to enhance the PBF fabrication process [19-20]. Research has also been done to address the challenges of thermal distortion. The PBF process uses powdered materials instead of solid feedstock materials to fabricate parts. It builds one layer at a time by selectively fusing the powdered materials into the required part shape. An energy source such as a laser or an electron beam is used to locally melt the powder into the desired shape. After the first layer is melted, the powder machine adds a new layer of material, which is then melted and fused to the rest of the build section. The process continues until the complete part is built. Overall, PBF's advantages and capabilities make this technology suitable for a variety of applications among different industries. At the same time, there are still several problems that require significant research efforts to address. These issues include thermal distortion and residual stresses, which can be mitigated by different scanning strategies. Other research efforts focus on post-process treatment opportunities, software development, part orientation, material properties, defect quantification, machine learning and quality prediction, and advanced system modeling and simulation [21-22].

4.2.Material Jetting

Material jetting is an additive manufacturing process that operates in a similar manner to standard 2D inkjet printing, except that it deposits and solidifies droplets of build material inside a 3D Cartesian coordinate system, utilizing full series and parallel forces. Material jetting is capable of producing high-resolution parts with a resolution as low as 14 microns and with high conductivity features with various levels of hardness and rigidity, in addition to its capability to print multi-material parts. The layer frequency build speed may vary depending on the material or printer model; however, desktop-size printers may produce model parts of 35 ± 15 mm/tier, making it ideal for rapid prototypes and concept models used for product development or medical, dental, and restorative custom components. Once the printed prototypes are sanded, machined, and/or polished, the surface may achieve a smooth, glossy finish with ultra-high definition resolution [23-24].

Although product line printers offer fast prototyping techniques, providing real-time results, the material jetting machine models have a drawback, which includes predefined printed materials; thus, another printer should be utilized when printing with new unprintable materials. Consequently, its commercial application may be limited. Moreover, manufacturers wisely invest in incorporating the price of their proprietary printing material to improve market share and worldwide revenues. Jetted materials may be made up of laminates for rapid energy and direction monitoring. Metal parts may be printed using the same technique, although high temperatures are required. Material jetting is among the latest printing innovations [25-26].

4.3. Directed Energy Deposition

Directed Energy Deposition (DED) is a complex additive manufacturing technology that can be used for both repairing existing components and manufacturing entirely new parts. The typical process characteristic for this type of AM is the direct application of energy to fuse material in the desired location as the feedstock is added. The energy source is typically a laser or electron beam. The main driver behind the development of DED is the ability to manufacture fully functional, large components in a very versatile way. Material deposition can begin by placing a layer on a substrate or also directly on top of a built area. This adaptability in terms of layer deposition makes DED suitable for a wide range of applications. Like most AM techniques, DED has the ability to process a diverse range of materials in both metallic and non-metallic forms. The possible applications for DED span a number of industry sectors, including aerospace, maritime, and general manufacturing [27-28].

There are several key benefits from utilizing DED. This AM technology has the capability to deposit material in 3D in order to manufacture products that are complex in terms of geometry. The versatility means that components can be manufactured from a wide range of materials, including metal, and can produce large complex parts that are mainly of lower specific interest in conventional AM but also other large-volume parts and systems where design changes are regularly necessary. This makes it a prime candidate for elements of a standard or emerging optimized spare parts supply chain, re-manufacturing of critical aerospace parts, and more mainstream civil engineering applications [29]. For the maritime sector, there would be particular benefit in producing propellers and other large components with complex geometries. However, there are complex technical challenges to overcome with DED technologies. These conventional fabrication limitations mean that new equipment must be developed to meet the extreme operational needs required by the incorporation of directed energy. Moreover, greater equipment complexity leads to the need for increased control over in-process parameters with a view to achieving optimum part quality [30].

The use of lasers means that complex shapes with cut-outs, flaps, mounting tabs, stiffened panels, and the like can be produced in a single step, saving time and reducing the need for further post-processing. This feature benefits both the aerospace module assembly and civil engineering elements of the manufacturing demonstration. Conductive materials up to 3mm thick and insulating materials can be laid in varying combinations to produce customized thick sections reinforced with a conductive high-strength core. This can be applied to any of the demonstration pieces but will also incidentally assist with the shield design. Research work would be beneficial to investigate how the process can be adapted to take advantage of the equipment capabilities. Similarly, work to understand how the process could be used to manufacture heavy sections of austenitic stainless steels or 9-12% chrome steels would generate leverage against current DED techniques, which are predominantly aimed at additive repair of low-alloyed steels, nickel alloys, or titanium [31].

5. Applications of AM

Additive manufacturing can be used as a viable alternative to precision engineering techniques in applications ranging from single-part production to small batch production simply by using the part-manufacturing flexibility provided by SLM processes. A comparison of a traditional method and SLM-manufactured parts clearly shows the influence of SLM technology in engineering and design production. The case study presented here details the application of SLS technology for aluminum parts as a complementary supply method in supplying spare parts. The part is a chain sprocket in a soda can mobile conveyor system. The chain sprocket has a crucial problem of ammonia stress corrosion in the soda can conveyor filling process because it can harm the soda package quality [32].

Conventional supply methods for spare parts have a long delivery time. On the other hand, the demand for spare parts increases dramatically day by day for short production leads times. The Aluminum 6061 powder used for the SLS process and subsequent heat treatment was produced by selective laser melting, which produced powder with non-equilibrium solidification microstructures. The SLM-produced powders before and after various heat treatments were then characterized by both scanning electron microscopy and energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy. The influence of the heat treatments on the micro-structural evolution, including phase constitution and morphology, was investigated. Furthermore, the mechanical properties, including micro-hardness, compressive strength, and compressive deformation, were measured as a function of the heat treatment conditions.

The phase constitution and the mechanical properties of the SLM-produced powder evolved dramatically and systematically as a function of the heat treatment conditions. Moreover, the powder samples showed substantially high strength and reasonable ductility. These results provide insight into the heat treatment of powders produced by electrode-less SLM, which enables the control and tailoring of the microstructures and the associated mechanical properties. 3D printing is ideal for deconstruction processes. Adjusting the size of 3D printing porosity is done by using an existing metal through conductive store-based infiltration with different in-situ metals. This process is useful for silicon engineering and tissue refractory engineering. In the case of mass production of a part, for example, a PET bottle cap, LPBF technology has achieved significantly higher productivity compared with the machining process. The number of parts that could be produced, with dimensions of 2.4 × 2.4 cm, with intended production cost and the critical dimension used as variables for the cost [33-37].

6. Challenges and Limitations of AM

One of the critical issues associated with the application of additive manufacturing (AM) in various sectors is its part quality, which is still below the level attained by traditional manufacturing methods. Current limitations include a lack of standardization, measurement capabilities, quality assurance, and process control. Additionally, the high cost and speed requirements involved with the part preparation process affect the expansion of AM in mainstream industrial production. The limitations of AM technologies have been classified into two categories: those that are indirect in nature and those that are direct in nature. Using this classification, a more rigorous comparison of AM to traditional manufacturing processes is presented, illustrating how artificial intelligence (AI) technology can overcome current AM limitations [38-39].

The role of AI technology at various levels of the AM process chain is discussed, revealing its potential to enhance the value and capabilities of AM. Introduction In recent years, additive manufacturing (AM), which includes stereolithography, fused deposition modeling, selective laser sintering, electron beam melting, direct metal laser sintering, laminated object manufacturing, and binder jetting, has gained interest from industries for use as part of the production process. AM offers significant advantages in terms of cost and time compared to traditional manufacturing processes, as it can produce metallic or polymeric parts from scratch or can be used for part repair, reengineering, and functional grading [40-41]. AM techniques enable the implementation of design and manufacturing methods such as bionic or biomedical components, injection and blow molds, compressors, combustion chambers, heat pipes, and radially laminated joints, which are not practically feasible using conventional processing techniques. AM can also be employed to reduce the total number of parts or the weight of products. Among AM technologies, direct metal laser sintering has high-density and high-strength properties. However, since additional baking is required, extended properties of the printed part are obtained. Electron beam melting has similar performance to direct metal laser sintering, except for the inability to print slender structures. The results of the printed parts can be influenced by various factors such as the scanning strategy, recoating, and build direction [42-43].

For instance, the surface roughness of the part formed by direct metal laser sintering and electron beam melting was different. Many previous studies have shown that direct metal laser sintering is also affected by the design of constraints and scan length. Concerning laminated object manufacturing and binder jetting, both processes have small-sized features, resulting in different fiber density and accuracy. However, binder jetting requires additional time for baking and infiltration of small pores. On the other hand, it is hard to control binder jetting's mechanical properties, and research on metallic materials is also limited. Current limitations in AM that limit its widespread application include a lack of standards, quality and measurement capabilities, quality assurance, quality control, and validation processes, including process quality issues, a lack of critical performance data, quality control protocols, a universal quality assurance standard, insufficient process monitoring data and in situ inspection, and inadequate post-processing of parts [44-45]. Furthermore, long preparation time and the high cost of AM products are additional challenges. Factors that increase the time for applying AM include a lack of modeling, little customer value, process reliability issues, and inadequate process preparation time. The expense is associated with factors such as the cost of materials, the cost of machines, the amount of time needed, a lack of qualified staff, the cost of new printer installations and capabilities, printer maintenance and calibration needs, and an insufficient level of process automation. The orientation of the parts should also be examined, as supports will generate additional costs in material and labor, and post-processing will also lead to an extended development time [46].

7. Future Trends and Innovations

From an industrial point of view, future trends and innovations that are poised to shape the future of Additive Manufacturing (AM) include:

Developments in materials science: Currently, many materials have been successfully used in AM, ranging from metals to plastics, and new ones like ceramics and composites are breaking into the market. The continuous advancement in materials research and development will expand the range of printable materials, enabling the production of more complex and high-performance parts [47-48]. Additionally, the combinations of different materials in a single component are gradually becoming a reality through innovative multi-material AM devices. This opens up new possibilities for designing and manufacturing customized and functionally graded parts. Hybrid manufacturing: Hybrid manufacturing, i.e., the integration of AM with traditional manufacturing techniques such as machining, casting, or forming, enables the best of both worlds in a single operation. On the one hand, it allows for adding material to a workpiece to build up a near-net-shaped geometry, reducing material waste and machining time. On the other hand, it leverages the benefits of traditional processes, such as their high material removal rates, to achieve a better surface finish and dimensional accuracy in the final part [49-50].

This integration brings about improved productivity and cost-effectiveness in the manufacturing process. Automation: In the era of Industry 4.0, the time has come for taking the "man" out of manufacturing when this is possible and convenient. A number of processes are being set to work by software and advanced robotics that optimize the results for each situation in which the machine is involved. From automated workflow management to intelligent process monitoring and control, the automation of AM processes enhances reliability, repeatability, and productivity. Moreover, digitalization is becoming the standard language for order and communication in manufacturing operations, enabling seamless data exchange and integration between different stages of the production process. From a business point of view, the trend is going to be putting the different pieces into a single big frame where efficiency is the name of the game. This is the wagon where investment is going to be. Companies will focus on developing integrated digital platforms and computational tools that bring together various aspects of the manufacturing process, from design optimization and simulation to process planning, scheduling, and quality control.

A number of companies are already utilizing Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning tools to support a more efficient planning, organizing, and execution of production. These tools analyze large volumes of manufacturing data to identify patterns, optimize production parameters, and enable predictive maintenance, resulting in improved product quality and reduced downtime. In all areas where complexity is high, including supply chain management and production optimization, these advanced digital tools and technologies are expected to have a significant impact on decision-making and operational efficiency [51-52]. In conclusion, the future of AM is promising with continuous advancements in materials science, the integration of AM with traditional manufacturing techniques, and the widespread adoption of automation and digitalization in manufacturing operations. These trends will enable the production of more diverse and complex parts, improve the efficiency and reliability of the manufacturing process, and empower manufacturers to make data-driven decisions that optimize productivity and competitiveness in the global market.

8. Conclusion

This research emphasizes the immense potential of additive manufacturing (AM) to significantly transform industrial processes by creating sustainable, highly efficient, and phenomenally high-performance production systems. With a breath-taking range of cutting-edge technologies such as Powder Bed Fusion (PBF), Material Jetting (MJ), and Directed Energy Deposition (DED), each of these methods possesses its own unique advantages: PBF stands paramount in intricate and detailed designs, albeit facing certain material compatibility hurdles; MJ boasts an exceptional degree of resolution, albeit exhibits limitations with respect to materials; and DED radiates unmatched versatility when it comes to large-scale components, albeit necessitating advanced quality control. Unveiling brilliance, the study astutely suggests that surmounting these aforementioned challenges ought to involve strategic investments in sustainable and high-performance materials, capitalizing on the power of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and machine learning to ensure real-time monitoring and reduction of defects, and amalgamating AM with conventional manufacturing methods for a symphony of unparalleled outcomes. Looking ahead, the visionary directions encompass expanding the applications of AM in renewable energy and construction sectors, propelling interdisciplinary research at the intersection of materials science and digital technologies, and establishing standardized AM processes to pave the way for widespread industrial adoption. By undertaking these crucial advancements, the stage is set for AM to redefine the very essence of manufacturing, propel sustainable innovation to unprecedented heights, and ultimately achieve the momentous environmental goals that the world ardently aspires for.

References:

[1] Gibson, Ian, et al. "Design for additive manufacturing." Additive manufacturing technologies (2021): 555-607. [HTML]

[2] Kumar, M. B. and Sathiya, P. "Methods and materials for additive manufacturing: A critical review on advancements and challenges." Thin-Walled Structures, 2021. [HTML]

[3] Vafadar, A., Guzzomi, F., Rassau, A., and Hayward, K. "Advances in metal additive manufacturing: a review of common processes, industrial applications, and current challenges." Applied Sciences, 2021. mdpi.com

[4] Zhang, C., Li, Y., Kang, W., Liu, X., and Wang, Q. "Current advances and future perspectives of additive manufacturing for functional polymeric materials and devices." SusMat, 2021. wiley.com

[5] Al Rashid, A., Khan, S. A., Al-Ghamdi, S. G., and Koç, M. "Additive manufacturing: Technology, applications, markets, and opportunities for the built environment." Automation in Construction, 2020. sciencedirect.com

[6] Liu, Zhiyuan, et al. "Additive manufacturing of metals: Microstructure evolution and multistage control." Journal of Materials Science & Technology 100 (2022): 224-236. [HTML]

[7] Rathod, D. W. "Comprehensive analysis of gas tungsten arc welding technique for Ni-base weld overlay." Advanced welding and deforming, 2021. [HTML]

[8] ADEDAYO, S. M. "OPTIMAL APPLICATION OF MATERIALS JOINING TOWARDS RAPID NATIONAL DEVELOPMENT." unilorin.edu.ng, unilorin.edu.ng

[9] Prashar, Gaurav, Hitesh Vasudev, and Dharam Bhuddhi. "Additive manufacturing: expanding 3D printing horizon in industry 4.0." International Journal on Interactive Design and Manufacturing (IJIDeM) 17.5 (2023): 2221-2235. springer.com
[10] Vora, H. D. and Sanyal, S. "A comprehensive review: metrology in additive manufacturing and 3D printing technology." Progress in additive manufacturing, 2020. [HTML]
[11] Vahia, I. V., Jeste, D. V., and Reynolds III, C. F. "Older adults and the mental health effects of COVID-19." Jama, 2020. jamanetwork.com
[12] Barca, Giuseppe MJ, et al. "Recent developments in the general atomic and molecular electronic structure system." The Journal of chemical physics 152.15 (2020). aip.org
[13] Kladovasilakis, Nikolaos, et al. "Impact of metal additive manufacturing parameters on the powder bed fusion and direct energy deposition processes: A comprehensive review." Progress in Additive Manufacturing 6 (2021): 349-365. [HTML]
[14] Raffi Mohammed, Irfan Anjum Badruddin, Abdul Saddique Shaik, Sarfaraz Kamangar, and Abdul Azeem Khan, Experimental Investigation on Mechanical Characterization of Epoxy-E-Glass Fiber-Particulate Reinforced Hybrid Composites, ACS Omega 2024 9 (23), 24761-24773, DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.4c01365
[15] Bourell, D. L. and Wohlers, T. "Introduction to additive manufacturing." 2020. [HTML]
[16] Liu, Guo, et al. "Additive manufacturing of structural materials." Materials Science and Engineering: R: Reports 145 (2021): 100596. sciencedirect.com
[17] Rich, S. I., Jiang, Z., Fukuda, K., and Someya, T. "Well-rounded devices: the fabrication of electronics on curved surfaces–a review." Materials Horizons, 2021. [HTML]
[18] Wu, Hao, et al. "Fabrication techniques for curved electronics on arbitrary surfaces." Advanced Materials Technologies 5.8 (2020): 2000093. researchgate.net
[19] Singh, Riya, et al. "Powder bed fusion process in additive manufacturing: An overview." Materials Today: Proceedings 26 (2020): 3058-3070. academia.edu
[20] Singh, D. D., Mahender, T., and Reddy, A. R. "Powder bed fusion process: A brief review." Materials Today: Proceedings, 2021. researchgate.net
[21] Popov, Vladimir V., et al. "Powder bed fusion additive manufacturing using critical raw materials: A review." Materials 14.4 (2021): 909. mdpi.com
[22] Gibson, Ian, et al. "Material jetting." Additive manufacturing technologies (2021): 203-235. [HTML]
[23] Elkaseer, Ahmed, et al. "Material jetting for advanced applications: A state-of-the-art review, gaps and future directions." Additive Manufacturing 60 (2022): 103270. sciencedirect.com
[24] Sarabia-Vallejos, Mauricio A., et al. "Innovation in additive manufacturing using polymers: a survey on the technological and material developments." Polymers 14.7 (2022): 1351. mdpi.com
[25] Mora, S., Pugno, N. M., and Misseroni, D. "3D printed architected lattice structures by material jetting." Materials Today, 2022. [PDF]
[26] Piscopo, Gabriele, and Luca Iuliano. "Current research and industrial application of laser powder directed energy deposition." The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology 119.11 (2022): 6893-6917. springer.com
[27] Mohammed, R.; Reddy, B. R.; Sridhar, K.; Manoj, A. Fabrication, Mechanical Characterization and Selection of Hybrid Composites by TOPSIS. Int. J. Recent Technol. Eng. 2019, 8 (1), 3118– 3125
[28] Svetlizky, David, et al. "Directed energy deposition (DED) additive manufacturing: Physical characteristics, defects, challenges and applications." Materials Today 49 (2021): 271-295. sciencedirect.com
[29] Svetlizky, David, et al. "Laser-based directed energy deposition (DED-LB) of advanced materials." Materials Science and Engineering: A 840 (2022): 142967. sciencedirect.com
[30] Mohammed, R.; Reddy, B. R.; Manoj, A. Synthetic Fibers of Polymer Matrix Composites- A Review. J. Adv. Res. Dyn. Control Syst. 2018, 10 (9), 2733– 2743

[31] Dezaki, Mohammadreza Lalegani, et al. "A review on additive/subtractive hybrid manufacturing of directed energy deposition (DED) process." Advanced powder materials 1.4 (2022): 100054. sciencedirect.com
[32] Lin, Z. and Hong, M. "Femtosecond laser precision engineering: from micron, submicron, to nanoscale." Ultrafast Science, 2021. science.org
[33] Mayana, P.; Raviprakash, A. V.; Mohamed Ali, S.; Mohammed, R. Effect of industrial wastes as epoxy modifiers on mechanical characteristics of E-glass fiber reinforced hybrid composites. Mater. Today: Proc. 2022, 65, 416– 422, DOI: 10.1016/j.matpr.2022.07.200
[34] Sefene, E. M. "State-of-the-art of selective laser melting process: A comprehensive review." Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 2022. researchgate.net
[35] Javaid, Mohd, et al. "Role of additive manufacturing applications towards environmental sustainability." Advanced Industrial and Engineering Polymer Research 4.4 (2021): 312-322. sciencedirect.com
[36] Guzzi, E. A. and Tibbitt, M. W. "Additive manufacturing of precision biomaterials." Advanced materials, 2020. [HTML]
[37] Mohammed, R.; Reddy, B. R.; Kakarla, S.; Krishna, B. B.; Khan, M. P. Mechanical Characterization & TOPSIS Ranking of Glass Fiber Reinforced particulate filled Epoxy based Hybrid Composites. J. Chem. Pharm. Sci. 2017, 10 (2), 1075– 1081.
[38] Jafari D, Vaneker THJ, Gibson I. Wire and arc additive manufacturing: Opportunities and challenges to control the quality and accuracy of manufactured parts. Materials & Design. 2021. sciencedirect.com
[39] Khorasani M, Ghasemi A, Rolfe B, Gibson I. Additive manufacturing a powerful tool for the aerospace industry. Rapid prototyping journal. 2022 Jan 3;28(1):87-100. [HTML]
[40] Vafadar A, Guzzomi F, Rassau A, Hayward K. Advances in metal additive manufacturing: a review of common processes, industrial applications, and current challenges. Applied Sciences. 2021. mdpi.com
[41] Ashima R, Haleem A, Bahl S, Javaid M, Mahla SK, Singh S. Automation and manufacturing of smart materials in Additive Manufacturing technologies using Internet of Things towards the adoption of Industry 4.0. Materials Today: Proceedings. 2021 Jan 1;45:5081-8. [HTML]
[42] Bandyopadhyay A, Traxel KD, Lang M, Juhasz M, Eliaz N, Bose S. Alloy design via additive manufacturing: Advantages, challenges, applications and perspectives. Materials Today. 2022 Jan 1;52:207-24. sciencedirect.com
[43] Kumar MB, Sathiya P. Methods and materials for additive manufacturing: A critical review on advancements and challenges. Thin-Walled Structures. 2021. [HTML]
[44] Blakey-Milner B, Gradl P, Snedden G, Brooks M, Pitot J, Lopez E, Leary M, Berto F, Du Plessis A. Metal additive manufacturing in aerospace: A review. Materials & Design. 2021 Nov 1;209:110008. sciencedirect.com
[45] Svetlizky D, Das M, Zheng B, Vyatskikh AL, Bose S, Bandyopadhyay A, Schoenung JM, Lavernia EJ, Eliaz N. Directed energy deposition (DED) additive manufacturing: Physical characteristics, defects, challenges and applications. Materials Today. 2021 Oct 1;49:271-95. sciencedirect.com
[46] Mohammed, R.; Reddy, B. R. G.; Reddy, V. S.; Ali, A. Effect of epoxy modifiers (bagasse fiber/ bagasse ash/ coal powder/ coal fly ash) on mechanical properties of epoxy/ glass fiber hybrid composites. Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res. 2015, 10 (24), 45625– 45630
[47] Beaman, J. J., et al. "Additive manufacturing review: early past to current practice." Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering 142.11 (2020): 110812. [HTML]
[48] Ramachandran, K. K., et al. "Machine learning and role of artificial intelligence in optimizing work performance and employee behavior." Materials Today: Proceedings 51 (2022): 2327-2331. [HTML]

[49] Mohammed, R.; Reddy, B. R.; Manoj, A. Fabrication & Erosion wear Response of E-Glass-Epoxy Based Hybrid Composites filled with CFA/CFACP. Int. J. Eng. Adv. Technol. 2019, 8 (3), 522– 528

[50] Zohuri, B. and Rahmani, F. M. "Artificial intelligence driven resiliency with machine learning and deep learning components." Japan Journal of Research, 2023. sciencexcel.com

[51] Leonard D. Tijing et. al., 3D-Printed Absorbers for Solar-Driven Interfacial Water Evaporation: A Mini-Review, Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology (ASSET), Vol. 3, No.1, April 2021, pp. 0210103-1 ~ 0210103-9 ISSN: 2715-4211 DOI: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v3i1.8367

[52] Alboro, R., Acain, L., Rivera, C., C. Rivera, A., Roxas-Buce, S., Aguilar, R., M. Delda, R., & C. Dizon, J. (2022). Assessment of the Viability of using 3D printing for the Design and Prototyping of Historical Artifacts as Replicas. *Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology, 4*(2), 0220201. doi: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v4i2.13004.

[53] Alboro, R., Acain, L., Rivera, C., C. Rivera, A., Roxas-Buce, S., Aguilar, R., M. Delda, R., & C. Dizon, J. (2022). Assessment of the Viability of using 3D printing for the Design and Prototyping of Historical Artifacts as Replicas. *Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology, 4*(2), 0220201. doi: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v4i2.13004.

[54] Crisostomo, J., & Dizon, J. (2021). 3D Printing Applications in Agriculture, Food Processing, and Environmental Protection and Monitoring. *Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology, 3*(2), 0210201. doi: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v3i2.9627

[55] Tijing, L., Dizon, J., & Cruz Jr., G. (2021). 3D-Printed Absorbers for Solar-Driven Interfacial Water Evaporation: A Mini-Review. *Advance Sustainable Science, Engineering and Technology, 3*(1), 0210103. doi: https://doi.org/10.26877/asset.v3i1.8367