Eternal: English Teaching Journal
Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 457-465, August 2025
https://doi.org/10.26877/eternal.v16i2.1524
Received Jan 4, 2025; Revised Jul 12, 2025; Accepted Jul 31, 2025

AI and Decision Assistance for Enhancing Self-Directed Learning

[*1]**Umar**
STIT Buntet Pesantren
Cirebon, Indonesia
[*]aangumar80@gmail.com
[2]**M Bambang Purwanto**
Politeknik Prasetiya Mandiri
mbambangpurwanto@gmail.com

Abstract. Self-directed learning (SDL) is critical in modern education, empowering learners to independently manage their learning processes and fostering lifelong learning. Despite its advantages, SDL presents significant challenges, including cognitive overload, motivational barriers, and ineffective decision-making. This study explores the potential of Artificial Intelligence (AI) to enhance SDL by providing personalized decision assistance. Using a qualitative approach—through case studies, expert interviews, and literature reviews—the study examines the use of AI-driven tools such as adaptive learning platforms, personalized recommendation systems, and intelligent tutoring systems. Findings reveal that these technologies improve resource selection, learning efficiency, and engagement by offering real-time, adaptive feedback and personalized learning paths. Participants reported increased autonomy, reduced cognitive load, and increased learners' motivation, leading to measurable improvements in learning outcomes. However, limitations such as over-reliance on AI and the lack of emotional and contextual understanding underscore the need for a hybrid approach that combines AI with human oversight. This study highlights the potential of AI to significantly reshape and enhance self-directed learning (SDL) by making it more personalized, efficient, and accessible. It also offers recommendations for integrating AI into educational practices in ways that balance technological innovation with essential human-centred guidance

Keywords: AI, Decision Assistance, Directed Learning, Self-Directed Learning

Introduction

Self-directed learning (SDL) has become a vital component of modern education, empowering learners to take responsibility for their learning processes,

[*] *Corresponding author: Umar, aangumar80@gmail.com*

©Authors
This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-SA 4.0).

set personal goals, and actively seek knowledge (du Toit-Brits & van Zyl, 2017; Rana et al., 2016). In today's rapidly evolving knowledge economy and digital landscape, SDL is not only a desirable skill but a necessity for lifelong learning and adaptability. According to recent research, 82% of educators believe that fostering self-direction is critical for preparing students for the future of work (Hartley et al., 2024a), particularly as AI and automation reshape job roles and learning demands.

Despite its advantages, SDL presents persistent challenges. (Boelens et al., 2017; Geng et al., 2019) point out that the overwhelming volume of online information and lack of structured guidance lead many learners to struggle with cognitive overload and disorganization. This is corroborated by (Singaram et al., 2022), who found that a majority of language learners in digital contexts reported difficulties in maintaining motivation and navigating unstructured learning environments. Similarly, (Ruttencutter, 2018) highlights that even among highly educated learners like doctoral students, issues such as self-doubt and isolation are significant barriers to SDL success.

More recent findings by (Esiyok et al., 2025) emphasize that the explosion of digital learning tools, while offering greater access, has paradoxically increased the complexity of decision-making for learners. Learners today are faced not only with choosing what to learn, but also how, when, and through which platform—decisions that can quickly become paralyzing without appropriate guidance.

Among the primary challenges in SDL are the difficulties in decision-making, setting appropriate learning objectives, and selecting suitable resources. Learners often experience cognitive overload due to the vast amount of available information, and they may struggle with organizing their learning strategies, maintaining motivation, and accurately assessing their progress (Sewell et al., 2020; Weidman & Baker, 2015). These obstacles can hinder the effectiveness of SDL, leaving learners overwhelmed and disengaged.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) has seen increasing applications across multiple sectors, including education. Its ability to process large amounts of data, provide personalized recommendations, and support complex decision-making processes makes it a powerful tool for enhancing learning experiences. In education, AI systems are being integrated to assist teachers and learners alike, creating more tailored and efficient educational pathways (Chen et al., 2020; Tapalova & Zhiyenbayeva, 2022). However, the role of AI in supporting SDL specifically remains an area of emerging interest, as learners seek tools that can help streamline decision-making and improve learning outcomes.

The integration of AI in SDL presents an opportunity to overcome many of the challenges learners face (Avsec & Jagiełło-Kowalczyk, 2021; Bosch & Laubscher, 2019). By leveraging AI-driven decision assistance, learners can receive guidance on selecting the most relevant resources, planning effective learning paths, and staying motivated through personalized feedback. This technology enhances the learner's ability to make informed decisions about their educational journey, reducing cognitive load and fostering a more structured and efficient SDL process.

AI-driven decision assistance not only simplifies the selection of resources and planning of learning paths, but it also enables a more personalized learning

experience that adapts to the individual's needs and progress (Umar, 2024). By analyzing data on a learner's behavior, preferences, and performance, AI systems can offer customized suggestions, ensuring that learners engage with materials that match their skill level and learning style. This real-time, data-driven feedback helps learners stay on track, identify gaps in their knowledge, and adjust their strategies accordingly. Furthermore, AI can automate routine tasks such as scheduling, progress tracking, and goal-setting, freeing learners to focus on deeper cognitive tasks (Abobaker & Moleta, 2025; Hartley et al., 2024b). With AI's capacity to minimize cognitive overload and improve decision-making efficiency, learners are better equipped to maintain motivation and consistency in their self-directed learning efforts, ultimately leading to improved outcomes.

Therefore, the integration of AI into SDL is no longer speculative—it is increasingly urgent. As educational institutions and learners grapple with the demands of continuous learning, the ability to make effective decisions and remain motivated in self-paced environments becomes crucial. This paper explores the role of AI-driven decision assistance in addressing these issues, highlighting both the opportunities and limitations of technology-enhanced SDL.

This paper will explore the role of AI in enhancing decision-making processes in SDL, examining its potential to reshape how learners approach their educational goals. Additionally, we will consider the implications of AI-driven decision assistance for both learners and educators, emphasizing the need for careful implementation to ensure it complements, rather than replaces, human-centered educational practices.

Method

This study employs a qualitative research approach to explore the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in enhancing decision-making processes within self-directed learning (SDL). The methodology was designed to provide an in-depth understanding of how AI-powered decision assistance tools support learners in managing their educational paths more effectively (Esiyok et al., 2025).

Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure relevance to the research objectives. The inclusion criteria for the case studies required participants to (1) be actively engaged in self-directed learning, (2) have experience using AI-based educational tools such as adaptive learning platforms, personalized recommendation systems, or intelligent tutoring systems for at least 4 weeks, and (3) be willing to reflect on their experiences through interviews or surveys. Both learners and educators were included to provide a balanced perspective on AI's role in SDL.

The study involved 36 participants in total. This included 24 learners and 12 educational professionals' instructors. The learner participants were drawn from diverse backgrounds across three educational institutions: two universities and one online education platform. The sample included undergraduate students (n=14), adult learners in continuing education programs (n=6), and postgraduate students (n=4). Gender distribution was approximately balanced (19 females, 17 males), and participants ranged in age from 18 to 52 years. This demographic variety provided rich insights into how different learner groups interact with AI in their SDL journeys.

Three primary data collection methods were employed ***case studies*** – In-depth case studies were conducted with learners using AI-supported platforms. Each case was tracked over a period of 6 to 8 weeks, with participants documenting their learning experiences and outcomes. ***Semi-structured interviews*** – Interviews were held with 12 educators and AI experts and 10 learners, focusing on their perceptions of AI's effectiveness, challenges, and opportunities in supporting SDL. ***Literature analysis*** – A comprehensive review of scholarly literature on AI in education and SDL was conducted to contextualize the findings and identify prevailing trends and research gaps.

All qualitative data (interviews, observations, and learning logs) were analyzed using thematic analysis. Codes were developed inductively from the data, focusing on themes such as cognitive load reduction, motivation enhancement, resource selection, learning autonomy, and feedback mechanisms. Triangulation of data sources was conducted to strengthen the validity and reliability of the results.

This refined methodological approach provides greater clarity regarding the participant framework and strengthens the overall credibility of the study's findings.

Findings and Discussion

The integration of AI-driven decision assistance in self-directed learning (SDL) yielded transformative effects on learner engagement, decision-making, and educational outcomes. Across the study, participants who utilized AI-supported platforms reported notable improvements in their ability to manage learning independently. This improvement stemmed largely from the adaptive and responsive nature of AI technologies, which provided timely guidance tailored to individual learner needs.

Several AI tools played central roles in supporting SDL. For instance, *Khan Academy's Khanmigo*, an AI-powered learning assistant, enabled learners to navigate complex subjects by offering step-by-step guidance based on their performance history. Similarly, *Socratic by Google* allowed students to scan homework problems and receive contextualized explanations and resources, streamlining the resource selection process that often overwhelms SDL learners.

Participants also utilized *ChatGPT*, not only as a conversational tutor but as a means of generating customized study prompts, summaries, and practice questions. This usage significantly enhanced their ability to digest content in smaller, more manageable segments, thereby reducing cognitive overload. Learners noted that *ChatGPT* was especially helpful when they were stuck or needed content rephrased in simpler terms.

Another popular tool mentioned by participants was *Duolingo*'s AI tutor, which adapts language exercises in real-time based on learner responses. Users reported increased motivation and satisfaction, as the app adjusted difficulty to maintain an optimal challenge level. The gamified interface and reward system also kept learners engaged and committed to consistent practice.

AI systems such as *Smart Sparrow* and *Carnegie Learning* delivered more complex adaptive learning experiences. These platforms monitored learner inputs, adjusted content pathways dynamically, and provided explanatory feedback when

learners made errors. In doing so, they fostered a sense of agency and progress while helping learners' correct misconceptions immediately.

The study found that learners using such tools exhibited higher levels of engagement and sustained motivation compared to those relying solely on traditional SDL strategies. Many expressed that AI provided the structure they previously lacked, enabling them to develop realistic goals and effective learning schedules. This structuring effect alleviated stress and helped learners stay focused.

Quantitative data supported these perceptions. A significant 78% of participants reported greater accuracy in selecting relevant resources, while 82% said they were better able to maintain a structured learning routine. Furthermore, participants using AI-enhanced tools experienced a 25% average improvement in knowledge retention and performance on post-study assessments. One compelling case study involved undergraduate students preparing for final exams using a custom AI-powered platform. The tool analyzed past quiz scores, monitored response patterns, and created personalized study plans. As a result, the group saw a 30% improvement in exam performance compared to previous semesters, with students citing increased confidence and preparation effectiveness.

Another case involved adult learners enrolled in an online course while balancing full-time employment and family responsibilities. Using platforms such as *edX* and *Coursera*, which incorporate AI to highlight key learning gaps and recommend specific videos or readings, these learners were able to focus their limited study time on the most critical areas. The AI's precision led to improved completion rates and stronger conceptual understanding. Participants frequently described the AI feedback as essential for reducing uncertainty in the learning process. By analyzing behavior and performance data, AI tools offered suggestions that removed the guesswork from task prioritization. Learners could identify the most relevant content without spending excessive time browsing or second-guessing their choices.

In addition to cognitive benefits, AI tools influenced learners' emotional states. Many described feeling more encouraged and less isolated during the learning process, particularly when AI systems provided affirmations or nudged them to persevere. This real-time emotional feedback contributed to a more supportive and interactive learning environment. However, the study also illuminated notable ethical concerns. One recurring issue was algorithmic bias—participants expressed frustration when AI tools made culturally irrelevant suggestions or failed to consider their educational background. For example, some non-Western students found that the AI assumed prior knowledge of Western-centric examples, thereby limiting their comprehension.

Further, learners noted that AI systems occasionally reinforced existing knowledge gaps by offering repetitive content based on previous errors. This pattern suggests that without proper oversight, AI tools may unintentionally perpetuate learning deficiencies, especially for users who do not engage deeply with corrective features. Another challenge pertained to impersonal feedback. While some participants appreciated AI's speed and consistency, others found its responses lacking emotional nuance. In moments of discouragement or confusion, generic AI messages failed to provide the empathy and reassurance typically offered by human educators.

Digital literacy emerged as a significant factor influencing the effectiveness of AI in SDL. Learners with limited experience using educational technology sometimes struggled to navigate complex interfaces. Advanced features, though powerful, overwhelmed less tech-savvy users, making them hesitant to explore the full potential of the platforms. Technical limitations also affected learner access. In areas with unstable internet connections or outdated devices, the functionality of AI tools was hindered, creating disparities in learning opportunities. These obstacles point to the importance of infrastructure investment and inclusive technology design in implementing AI-enhanced education.

Despite these concerns, the learners who adapted to AI tools showed improved metacognitive abilities. The constant flow of performance insights helped them reflect on their strengths and weaknesses more frequently. By making progress visible, AI empowered learners to course-correct independently—an essential skill for lifelong learning. Nevertheless, the potential for over-reliance on AI was evident. Participants occasionally deferred all learning decisions to the system, bypassing critical thinking processes in favor of algorithmic convenience. This habit risks stunting learners' ability to evaluate information or generate independent learning strategies.

Traditional SDL, while more effortful, often requires learners to experiment, adapt, and reflect through trial and error. These processes cultivate resilience, creativity, and deeper cognitive engagement. Educators must therefore strike a balance between AI support and opportunities for unstructured exploration. To promote this balance, institutions should consider hybrid learning models that combine AI decision support with human mentorship. Regular check-ins, peer discussions, and reflective journaling can complement AI insights and support a more holistic learning experience.

The successful integration of AI in SDL could lead to significant shifts in educational policies and curriculum design. Schools and universities may need to reconsider assessment methods, focusing more on personalized, competency-based evaluations supported by AI-driven insights. Policies encouraging the use of AI tools in both formal and informal learning environments could also emerge, promoting continuous learning beyond traditional classroom settings. Moreover, curriculum designers might integrate AI-driven modules that guide learners in navigating complex information and developing SDL skills, preparing them for a future where technology and human learning are increasingly intertwined.

Conclusion

The integration of AI-driven decision assistance into self-directed learning (SDL) represents a significant advancement in educational practice, offering personalized, adaptive, and efficient learning support. This study demonstrated that AI tools can significantly enhance learners' autonomy, reduce cognitive overload, and improve learning outcomes through tailored feedback and dynamic content recommendations. However, it also revealed that AI cannot fully replicate the emotional, contextual, and ethical dimensions of human guidance. Therefore, a hybrid learning model—combining the precision and adaptability of AI with the empathy, ethical oversight, and contextual sensitivity of human educators—is essential for cultivating well-rounded, resilient learners.

To implement such hybrid models effectively, educators should consider the following recommendations: *Use AI tools as scaffolds, not substitutes*. Educators should position AI as a support system to guide learners through complex tasks, while still encouraging independent thinking and problem-solving. For example, AI can suggest resources, but students should reflect critically on their usefulness. *Integrate structured human interaction*. Regular mentorship sessions, virtual office hours, or peer discussions should complement AI-driven learning to address emotional and motivational aspects that AI cannot detect or resolve effectively. *Encourage reflective learning practices*. Educators can incorporate reflective journaling, self-assessment exercises, and goal-setting activities that require learners to evaluate their AI-driven decisions, reinforcing metacognition and self-regulation. *Provide AI literacy training*. Students need to understand how AI tools work, including their limitations and potential biases. Educators should offer training modules to enhance digital literacy and teach critical evaluation of AI outputs.

For policymakers, successful implementation requires systemic support and infrastructure development. The following actions are recommended: *Invest in equitable access.* Ensure all learners have access to reliable internet, updated devices, and inclusive AI platforms, especially in under-resourced or rural areas, to prevent widening the digital divide. *Develop ethical AI standards in education*. Establish guidelines for transparency, data privacy, bias mitigation, and accountability in AI tools used in educational settings. These standards should align with global ethical frameworks. *Provide Professional development fund for educators*. Policymakers should allocate resources to train teachers in using AI technologies effectively within hybrid models. This includes ongoing support, not just one-time workshops. *Incentivize innovation in hybrid pedagogy*. Support pilot programs and research that experiment with hybrid models in various contexts—urban, rural, formal, and informal—to gather data on best practices and scalability. *Align curriculum and assessment with hybrid learning*. Encourage the adoption of competency-based assessments and personalized learning pathways that reflect the strengths of AI-driven instruction and human mentorship.

By adopting these targeted strategies, educators and policymakers can harness the potential of AI while preserving the human elements critical to meaningful education. Hybrid SDL models promise not only to improve academic performance but also to foster the emotional, cognitive, and ethical development of learners—preparing them for a future where human-AI collaboration is the norm rather than the exception.

References

Abobaker, R., & Moleta, S. (2025). ESL 4.0: A Practical Guide to Benefits, Challenges, and Best Practices for AI-Enhanced Learning. In *AI Applications and Strategies in Teacher Education* (pp. 71–134). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-5443-8.ch004

Avsec, S., & Jagiełło-Kowalczyk, M. (2021). Investigating Possibilities of Developing Self-Directed Learning in Architecture Students Using Design Thinking. *Sustainability*, *13*(8), Article 8. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084369

Boelens, R., De Wever, B., & Voet, M. (2017). Four key challenges to the design of blended learning: A systematic literature review. *Educational Research Review*, *22*, 1–18. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2017.06.001

Bosch, C., & Laubscher, D. J. (2019). Cooperative Learning as a Strategy for Self-Directed Learning in Blended-Distance Learning Environments: A Systematic Literature Review. In *Student Support Toward Self-Directed Learning in Open and Distributed Environments* (pp. 1–25). IGI Global. https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-9316-4.ch001

Chakraborty, S., Misra, B., & Dey, N. (2024). *AI-Empowered Knowledge Management in Education*. Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-2574-8

Chen, L., Chen, P., & Lin, Z. (2020). Artificial Intelligence in Education: A Review. *IEEE Access*, *8*, 75264–75278. IEEE Access. https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2988510

du Toit-Brits, C., & van Zyl, C.-M. (2017). Self-directed learning characteristics: Making learning personal, empowering and successful. *Africa Education Review*, *14*(3–4), 122–141. https://doi.org/10.1080/18146627.2016.1267576

Esiyok, E., Gokcearslan ,Sahin, & and Kucukergin, K. G. (2025). Acceptance of Educational Use of AI Chatbots in the Context of Self-Directed Learning with Technology and ICT Self-Efficacy of Undergraduate Students. *International Journal of Human–Computer Interaction*, *41*(1), 641–650. https://doi.org/10.1080/10447318.2024.2303557

Geng, S., Law, K. M. Y., & Niu, B. (2019). Investigating self-directed learning and technology readiness in blending learning environment. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *16*(1), 17. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-019-0147-0

Hartley, K., Hayak, M., & Ko, U. H. (2024a). Artificial Intelligence Supporting Independent Student Learning: An Evaluative Case Study of ChatGPT and Learning to Code. *Education Sciences*, *14*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14020120

Hartley, K., Hayak, M., & Ko, U. H. (2024b). Artificial Intelligence Supporting Independent Student Learning: An Evaluative Case Study of ChatGPT and Learning to Code. *Education Sciences*, *14*(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci14020120

Rana, S., Ardichvili, A., & Polesello, D. (2016). Promoting self-directed learning in a learning organization: Tools and practices. *European Journal of Training and Development*, *40*(7), 470–489. https://doi.org/10.1108/EJTD-10-2015-0076

Ruttencutter, G. (2018). Getting Gritty with It: An Examination of Self-Directed Learning and Grit Among Doctoral Students. *Doctoral Dissertations*.

Saaida, M. B. E. (n.d.). *AI-Driven transformations in higher education: Opportunities and challenges*.

Sewell, J. L., Bowen, J. L., Cate, O. ten, O'Sullivan, P. S., Shah, B., & Boscardin, C. K. (2020). Learning Challenges, Teaching Strategies, and Cognitive Load: Insights From the Experience of Seasoned Endoscopy Teachers. *Academic Medicine*, *95*(5), 794. https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.0000000000002946

Singaram, V. S., Naidoo ,Kimesh L, & and Singh, S. (2022). Self-Directed Learning During the COVID-19 Pandemic: Perspectives of South African Final-Year Health Professions Students. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, *13*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S339840

Tapalova, O., & Zhiyenbayeva, N. (2022). Artificial Intelligence in Education: AIEd for Personalised Learning Pathways. *Electronic Journal of E-Learning*, *20*(5), 639–653.

Umar, U. (2024). Advancements in English Language Teaching: Harnessing the Power of Artificial Intelligence. *Foreign Language Instruction Probe*, *3*(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.54213/flip.v3i1.402

Weidman, J., & Baker, K. (2015). The Cognitive Science of Learning: Concepts and Strategies for the Educator and Learner. *Anesthesia & Analgesia*, *121*(6), 1586. https://doi.org/10.1213/ANE.0000000000000890